

Functional Electrical Stimulation (FES) and Neuromuscular Electrical Stimulation (NMES)

DME.ST.113.A

v1.0.2025

Health Equity Considerations

Health equity is the highest level of health for all individuals; health inequity is the avoidable difference in health status or distribution of health resources due to the social conditions in which individuals are born, grow, live, work, and age.

Social determinants of health are the conditions in the environment that affect a wide range of health, functioning, and quality of life outcomes and risks. Examples include the following: safe housing, transportation, and neighborhoods; racism, discrimination, and violence; education, job opportunities, and income; access to nutritious foods and physical activity opportunities; access to clean air and water; and language and literacy skills.

Criteria

NMES for the Treatment of Disuse Muscle Atrophy

A **NMES device** (HCPCS E0745) and related supplies (HCPCS A4595, A4556, A4557, A4558) are indicated as part of a treatment plan for disuse atrophy when the nerve to the atrophied muscle is intact.

FES for use with Spinal Cord Injury (SCI)

A **FES device** (HCPCS E0764, E0770) and related supplies (HCPCS A4595, A4556, A4557, A4558) are indicated as part of a treatment plan to enable individuals with spinal cord injury (SCI) to ambulate when **all** the following criteria apply:

- The individual has intact lower motor units (L1 and below).
- The individual demonstrates brisk muscle contraction to NMES and has sensory perception of electrical stimulation for muscle contraction.
- The individual can transfer independently.
- The individual demonstrates the ability to stand independently for at least three minutes.

- The individual is at least six months post recovery spinal cord injury and restorative surgery.
- The individual does not have severe hip or knee degenerative disease.
- The individual does not have a history of long bone fracture secondary to osteoporosis.
- The individual has completed a physical therapy program over a three month period.
- The individual is able to control the device.
- The individual does not have any contraindications to device use.

FES for walking (Parastep I™ System) is contraindicated for individuals with SCI with **any** of the following:

- Cardiac pacemakers.
- Severe scoliosis or severe osteoporosis.
- Skin disease or cancer at area of stimulation.
- Irreversible contracture.
- Autonomic dysreflexia

FES for Foot Drop

A **FES device** (HCPCS E0764, E0770) and related supplies (HCPCS A4595, A4556, A4557, A4558) are indicated to achieve ambulation for individuals with a history of stroke with hemiplegia or hemiparesis and passive foot drop when **all** of the following criteria apply:

- The individual has the passive ankle range of motion to achieve a normal gait.
- The individual is able to safely use the device.
- The individual does not have any contraindications to device use.

FES for Heart Failure

A **FES device** (HCPCS E0764, E0770) and related supplies (HCPCS A4595, A4556, A4557, A4558) are indicated for individuals with New York Heart Association grade III or IV heart failure when **both** of the following criteria apply:

- The individual is able to safely use the device.
- The individual does not have any contraindications to device use.

Conductive Garment

A **conductive garment** (HCPCS E0731) for use with a NMES or FES device is indicated if **all** of the following conditions are met:

- The garment has been prescribed by the treating practitioner for use in delivering covered NMES or FES treatment.

- There is a medical indication that precludes application of conventional electrodes, adhesive tapes, and lead wires including **any** of the following:
 - There is a large treatment area or many sites to be stimulated.
 - The individual has a documented medical condition, such as a skin condition.
 - The individual requires electrical stimulation beneath a cast to treat chronic intractable pain.

Special Considerations and Safety

NMES/FES should not be used in individuals with any of the following contraindications and clinical scenarios, including, but not limited to:

- Electronic implants (e.g., cardiac pacemakers, electronic implants, or an implantable cardioverter defibrillator).
- Severe scoliosis or severe osteoporosis.
- Skin disease or cancer at area of stimulation.
- Irreversible contracture.
- Autonomic dysreflexia.
- Individuals who are pregnant.
- Individuals who have epilepsy.

Electrodes should not be placed over the eyes, transcerebrally, on the front of the neck, internally, over tumors, directly over the spine, or on damaged or infected skin.

FES and NMES are considered experimental, investigational, or unproven for all other indications other than those listed in the criteria, including, but not limited to, **any** of the following:

- General muscle strengthening in healthy individuals.
- Treatment of knee osteoarthritis.
- Treatment of Upper extremity hemiplegia.
- Treatment of Oropharyngeal Dysphagia

Not Medically Necessary Indications

- Implanted Neurostimulators are not considered durable medical equipment (DME).
- A disposable, replacement NMES (HCPCS A4560) is not considered DME.

Supplies

- During the rental of a NMES/FES unit, supplies for the unit are included in the rental allowance; there is no additional allowance for items such as electrodes, lead wires, and batteries.

- If a NMES/FES unit is purchased, separate allowance will be made for replacement supplies (HCPCS A4595) when they are reasonable and necessary and are used with a covered NMES/FES.

Definitions

- **Neuromuscular electrical stimulation (NMES)** involves the use of a device to generate a functional muscle contraction and increase muscle strength. NMES may be used after a period of muscle disuse, such as after surgery, when the nerve to the muscle is intact, and is most effective if combined with exercise. NMES can be grouped into two categories:
 - Stimulation of muscles while in a resting state to treat muscle atrophy.
 - Enhancement of functional activity in neurologically impaired individuals.
- **Functional electrical stimulation (FES)** involves the use of a NMES device to restore function to a paralyzed muscle(s) after neurologic injury, typically during a functional task. The terms FES and NMES are often used interchangeably in the literature. FES may be used during a walking on treadmill (e.g., The Parastep I System), pedaling a stationary bicycle ergometer, or during voluntary rowing movements of the upper limbs.
- **Heart failure (HF)** is a common clinical syndrome with symptoms caused by impaired ability of one or both ventricles to pump at a normal pressure due to a structural or functional cardiac disorder. It is characterized by specific symptoms (e.g., dyspnea, fatigue) and signs (e.g., fluid retention).
- The New York Heart Association (NYHA) has established a functional classification system for heart failure based on the timing of symptom onset for an individual.
 - **Class I heart failure** is characterized by symptom onset with strenuous activity.
 - **Class II heart failure** is characterized by symptom onset with an ordinary level of activity.
 - **Class III heart failure** is defined as symptom onset with minimal activity, and is further broken down into:
 - **Class IIIa**, in which an individual has no shortness of breath at rest, or
 - **Class IIIb**, in which an individual has recent onset of shortness of breath at rest.
 - **Class IV heart failure** is characterized by symptom onset at rest.

Evidence Discussion

Functional electrical stimulation (FES) and neuromuscular electrical stimulation (NMES) devices are effective at treating a range of neurological and musculoskeletal conditions including spinal cord injury, stroke with foot drop, cerebral palsy, multiple sclerosis, heart

failure, spasticity control, and upper extremity rehabilitation.¹ Research demonstrates that FES significantly improves walking ability in individuals with incomplete spinal cord injury, with studies showing FES had the highest probability of being the best intervention for velocity and distance compared to conventional therapy, treadmill training, and robot-assisted gait training. In stroke patients with foot drop, FES has been shown to produce clinically important gains in comfortable gait speed, while also demonstrating equivalence to ankle-foot orthoses for increasing gait speed but with superior outcomes for reducing physiologic cost and individual preference.²³

NMES demonstrates effectiveness for muscle strengthening and spasticity reduction, particularly in spinal cord injury populations.⁴⁵ In individuals with heart failure, FES serves as an effective alternative to traditional exercise training for those unable to participate in conventional programs, producing significant improvements in peak oxygen uptake and six-minute walk distance compared to sedentary care.⁶ The American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS) provided a strong recommendation that NMES should be used in conjunction with standard treatment to improve function in musculoskeletal conditions.⁷

Codes

HCP Code	Description
A4556	Electrodes (e.g., apnea monitor), per pair
A4557	Lead wires (e.g., apnea monitor), per pair
A4558	Conductive gel or paste, for use with electrical device (e.g., TENS, NMES), per oz.

- ¹ Patathong T, Klaewkasikum K, Woratanarat P, et al. The efficacy of gait rehabilitations for the treatment of incomplete spinal cord injury: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *J Orthop Surg Res.* 2023;18(1):60. Published 2023 Jan 23. doi:10.1186/s13018-022-03459-w
- ² O'Dell MW, Dunning K, Kluding P, et al. Response and prediction of improvement in gait speed from functional electrical stimulation in persons with poststroke drop foot [published correction appears in *PM R.* 2014 Oct;6(10):967]. *PM R.* 2014;6(7):587-601. doi:10.1016/j.pmrj.2014.01.001
- ³ Dunning K, O'Dell MW, Kluding P, McBride K. Peroneal stimulation for foot drop after stroke: a systematic review. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* 2015;94(8):649-664. doi:10.1097/PHM.0000000000000308
- ⁴ Bekhet AH, Jahan AM, Bochekezanian V, Musselman KE, Elsareih AA, Gorgey AS. Effects of electrical stimulation training on body composition parameters after spinal cord injury: a systematic review. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2022;103(6):1168-1178. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2021.09.004
- ⁵ Massey S, Vanhoestenbergh A, Duffell L. Neurophysiological and clinical outcome measures of the impact of electrical stimulation on spasticity in spinal cord injury: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Rehabil Sci.* 2022;3:1058663. Published 2022 Dec 16. doi:10.3389/fre.2022.1058663
- ⁶ Smart NA, Dieberg G, Giallauria F. Functional electrical stimulation for chronic heart failure: a meta-analysis. *Int J Cardiol.* 2013;167(1):80-86. doi:10.1016/j.ijcard.2011.12.019
- ⁷ American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline for Pharmacologic, Physical, and Cognitive Pain Alleviation for Musculoskeletal Extremity/Pelvis Surgery.

HCP Code	Description
A4560	Neuromuscular electrical stimulator (nmes), disposable, replacement only
A4595	Electrical stimulator supplies, 2 lead, per month, (e.g. TENS, NMES)
E0731	Form-hyphenfitting conductive garment for delivery of TENS or NMES (with conductive fibers separated from the patient's skin by layers of fabric)
E0745	Neuromuscular stimulator, electronic shock unit
E0762	Transcutaneous electrical joint stimulation device system, includes all accessories
E0764	Functional neuromuscular stimulator, transcutaneous stimulation of muscles of ambulation with computer control, used for walking by spinal cord injured, entire system, after completion of training program
E0770	Functional electrical stimulator, transcutaneous stimulation of nerve and / or muscle groups, any type, complete system, not otherwise specified

References

1. Alashram AR, Annino G, Mercuri NB. Changes in spasticity following functional electrical stimulation cycling in patients with spinal cord injury: A systematic review. *J Spinal Cord Med.* 2022;45(1):10-23. doi:10.1080/10790268.2020.1763713
2. Alamer A, Melese H, Nigussie F. Effectiveness of neuromuscular electrical stimulation on post-stroke dysphagia: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Clin Interv Aging.* 2020;15:1521-1531. Published 2020 Sep 3. doi:10.2147/CIA.S262596
3. Bax L, Staes F, Verhagen A. Does neuromuscular electrical stimulation strengthen the quadriceps femoris? A systematic review of randomised controlled trials. *Sports Med.* 2005;35(3):191-212. doi:10.2165/00007256-200535030-00002
4. Bailes AF, Caldwell C, Clay M, Tremper M, Dunning K, Long J. An exploratory study of gait and functional outcomes after neuroprosthesis use in children with hemiplegic cerebral palsy. *Disabil Rehabil.* 2017;39(22):2277-2285. doi:10.1080/09638288.2016.1225827
5. Bioness L300 Go. www.l300go.com. <https://www.l300go.com/>
6. Bekhet AH, Jahan AM, Bochezanian V, Musselman KE, Elsareih AA, Gorgey AS. Effects of electrical stimulation training on body composition parameters after spinal cord injury: a systematic review. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2022;103(6):1168-1178. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2021.09.004
7. Burns F, Calder A, Devan H. Experiences of individuals with multiple sclerosis and stroke using transcutaneous foot drop electrical stimulators: a systematic review and meta-synthesis of qualitative studies. *Disabil Rehabil.* 2023;45(12):1923-1932. doi:10.1080/09638288.2022.2076936
8. Carnaby-Mann GD, Crary MA. Examining the evidence on neuromuscular electrical stimulation for swallowing: a meta-analysis. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2007;133(6):564-571. doi:10.1001/archotol.133.6.564
9. Conner BC, Remec NM, Michaels CM, Wallace CW, Andrisevic E, Lerner ZF. Relationship between ankle function and walking ability for children and young adults with cerebral palsy: A systematic review of deficits and targeted interventions. *Gait Posture.* 2022;91:165-178. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2021.10.024
10. Damiano DL, Prosser LA, Curatalo LA, Alter KE. Muscle plasticity and ankle control after repetitive use of a functional electrical stimulation device for foot drop in cerebral palsy. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair.* 2013;27(3):200-207. doi:10.1177/1545968312461716

11. de Freitas GR, Szpoganicz C, Ilha J. Does neuromuscular electrical stimulation therapy increase voluntary muscle strength after spinal cord injury? A systematic review. *Top Spinal Cord Inj Rehabil.* 2018;24(1):6-17. doi:10.1310/sci16-00048
12. DeJong G, Hsieh CJ, Vita MT, Zeymo A, Boucher HR, Thakkar SC. Innovative devices did not provide superior total knee arthroplasty outcomes in post-operative rehabilitation: results from a four-arm randomized clinical trial. *Journal of Arthroplasty* 2020;35(8):2054-2065. DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2020.03.048.
13. Duan R, Qu M, Yuan Y, et al. Clinical benefit of rehabilitation training in spinal cord injury: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2021;46(6):E398-E410. doi:10.1097/BRS.0000000000003789
14. Dunning K, O'Dell MW, Kluding P, McBride K. Peroneal stimulation for foot drop after stroke: a systematic review. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* 2015;94(8):649-664. doi:10.1097/PHM.0000000000000308
15. El-Shamy SM, Abdelaal AA. WalkAide Efficacy on gait and energy expenditure in children with hemiplegic cerebral palsy: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* 2016;95(9):629-638. doi:10.1097/PHM.0000000000000514
16. Erafeij J, Clark W, France B, Desando S, Moore D. Effectiveness of upper limb functional electrical stimulation after stroke for the improvement of activities of daily living and motor function: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Syst Rev.* 2017;6(1):40. Published 2017 Feb 28. doi:10.1186/s13643-017-0435-5
17. Farkas GJ, Gorgey AS, Dolbow DR, Berg AS, Gater DR Jr. Energy expenditure, cardiorespiratory fitness, and body composition following arm cycling or functional electrical stimulation exercises in spinal cord injury: A 16-week randomized controlled trial. *Top Spinal Cord Inj Rehabil.* 2021;27(1):121-134. doi:10.46292/sci20-00065
18. FDA. 510(k) Premarket Notification. *Fda.gov.* Published 2019. <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfPMN/pmn.cfm>
19. Fenton JM, King JA, Hoekstra SP, Valentino SE, Phillips SM, Goosey-Tolfrey VL. Protocols aiming to increase muscle mass in persons with motor complete spinal cord injury: a systematic review. *Disabil Rehabil.* 2023;45(9):1433-1443. doi:10.1080/09638288.2022.2063420
20. Functional Electrical Stimulation for Drop Foot of Central Neurological Origin. NICE Interventional Procedure Guidance IPG 278 [Internet] National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. 2009 Jan Accessed at: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/>.
21. Glinsky J, Harvey L, van Es P, Chee S, Gandevia SC. The addition of electrical stimulation to progressive resistance training does not enhance the wrist strength of people with tetraplegia: a randomized controlled trial. *Clin Rehabil.* 2009;23(8):696-704. doi:10.1177/0269215509104171
22. Hamilton DF, Akhtar S, Griffiths B, Prior Y, Jones RK. The use of technology to support lifestyle interventions in knee osteoarthritis: A scoping review. *Osteoarthr Cartil Open.* 2023;5(2):100344. Published 2023 Feb 9. doi:10.1016/j.ocarto.2023.100344
23. Heijnen BJ, Speyer R, Baijens LW, Bogaardt HC. Neuromuscular electrical stimulation versus traditional therapy in patients with Parkinson's disease and oropharyngeal dysphagia: effects on quality of life. *Dysphagia.* 2012;27(3):336-345. doi:10.1007/s00455-011-9371-z
24. Jaqueline da Cunha M, Rech KD, Salazar AP, Pagnussat AS. Functional electrical stimulation of the peroneal nerve improves post-stroke gait speed when combined with physiotherapy. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Phys Rehabil Med.* 2021;64(1):101388. doi:10.1016/j.rehab.2020.03.012
25. Jurgens CY, et al. Heart failure management in skilled nursing facilities: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association and the Heart Failure Society of America. *Circulation: Heart Failure* 2015;8(3):655-687. DOI: 10.1161/HHF.0000000000000005
26. Karunakaran KK, Pilkar R, Ehrenberg N, Bentley KS, Cheng J, Nolan KJ. Kinematic and Functional Gait Changes After the Utilization of a Foot Drop Stimulator in Pediatrics. *Front Neurosci.* 2019;13:732. Published 2019 Jul 30. doi:10.3389/fnins.2019.00732
27. Kirshblum S, Snider B, Eren F, Guest J. Characterizing natural recovery after traumatic spinal cord injury. *J Neurotrauma.* 2021;38(9):1267-1284. doi:10.1089/neu.2020.7473
28. Klose KJ, Jacobs PL, Broton JG, et al. Evaluation of a training program for persons with SCI paraplegia using the Parastep 1 ambulation system: part 1. Ambulation performance and anthropometric measures. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 1997;78(8):789-793. doi:10.1016/s0003-9993(97)90188-x
29. Lee JH, Baker LL, Johnson RE, Tilson JK. Effectiveness of neuromuscular electrical stimulation for management of shoulder subluxation post-stroke: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Clin Rehabil.* 2017;31(11):1431-1444. doi:10.1177/0269215517700696

30. Massey S, Vanhoostenberghe A, Duffell L. Neurophysiological and clinical outcome measures of the impact of electrical stimulation on spasticity in spinal cord injury: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Rehabil Sci.* 2022;3:1058663. Published 2022 Dec 16. doi:10.3389/fresc.2022.1058663
31. Matsumoto S, Shimodozono M, Noma T, et al. Effect of Functional Electrical Stimulation in Convalescent Stroke Patients: A Multicenter, Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Clin Med.* 2023;12(7):2638. Published 2023 Apr 1. doi:10.3390/jcm12072638
32. Miller L, McFadyen A, Lord AC, et al. functional electrical stimulation for foot drop in multiple sclerosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of the effect on gait speed. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2017;98(7):1435-1452. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2016.12.007
33. Mukhopadhyay R, Lenka PK, Biswas A, Mahadevappa M. Evaluation of functional mobility outcomes following electrical stimulation in children with spastic cerebral palsy. *J Child Neurol.* 2017;32(7):650-656. doi:10.1177/0883073817700604
34. Moll I, Marcellis RGJ, Coenen MLP, et al. A randomized crossover study of functional electrical stimulation during walking in spastic cerebral palsy: the FES on participation (FESPa) trial. *BMC Pediatr.* 2022;22(1):37. Published 2022 Jan 13. doi:10.1186/s12887-021-03037-9
35. O'Dell MW, Dunning K, Kluding P, et al. Response and prediction of improvement in gait speed from functional electrical stimulation in persons with poststroke drop foot [published correction appears in *PM R.* 2014 Oct;6(10):967]. *PM R.* 2014;6(7):587-601. doi:10.1016/j.pmrj.2014.01.001
36. Palmieri-Smith RM, Thomas AC, Karvonen-Gutierrez C, Sowers M. A clinical trial of neuromuscular electrical stimulation in improving quadriceps muscle strength and activation among women with mild and moderate osteoarthritis. *Phys Ther.* 2010;90(10):1441-1452. doi:10.2522/ptj.20090330
37. Patathong T, Klaewkasikum K, Woratanarat P, et al. The efficacy of gait rehabilitations for the treatment of incomplete spinal cord injury: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *J Orthop Surg Res.* 2023;18(1):60. Published 2023 Jan 23. doi:10.1186/s13018-022-03459-w
38. Patzkowski JC, Patzkowski MS. A case illustrating the practical application of the AAOS clinical practice guideline: pharmacologic, physical, and cognitive pain alleviation for musculoskeletal extremity/pelvis surgery. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* 2022;30(18):e1161-e1164. doi:10.5435/JAAOS-D-22-00048
39. Product Classification. *Fda.gov.* Published 2025. Accessed January 14, 2025. <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpdc/classification.cfm?id=3972>
40. Prenton S, Hollands KL, Kenney LP. Functional electrical stimulation versus ankle foot orthoses for foot-drop: A meta-analysis of orthotic effects. *J Rehabil Med.* 2016;48(8):646-656. doi:10.2340/16501977-2136
41. Sall J, Eapen BC, Tran JE, Bowles AO, Bursaw A, Rodgers ME. The management of stroke rehabilitation: a synopsis of the 2019 U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs and U.S. Department of Defense Clinical Practice Guideline. *Ann Intern Med.* 2019;171(12):916-924. doi:10.7326/M19-1695
42. Scally JB, Baker JS, Rankin J, Renfrew L, Sculthorpe N. Evaluating functional electrical stimulation (FES) cycling on cardiovascular, musculoskeletal and functional outcomes in adults with multiple sclerosis and mobility impairment: A systematic review. *Mult Scler Relat Disord.* 2020;37:101485. doi:10.1016/j.msard.2019.101485
43. Teoli D, Dua A, An J. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. In: *StatPearls.* Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; March 20, 2024.
44. Thompson AK, Doran B, Stein RB. Short-term effects of functional electrical stimulation on spinal excitatory and inhibitory reflexes in ankle extensor and flexor muscles. *Exp Brain Res.* 2006;170(2):216-226. doi:10.1007/s00221-005-0203-y
45. Türkkan C, Öztürk GT, Uğurlu FG, Ersöz M. Ultrasonographic assessment of neuromuscular electrical stimulation efficacy on glenohumeral subluxation in patients with hemiplegia: a randomized-controlled study. *Turk J Phys Med Rehabil.* 2017;63(4):287-292. Published 2017 May 17. doi:10.5606/tftrd.2017.953
46. van der Scheer JW, Goosey-Tolfrey VL, Valentino SE, Davis GM, Ho CH. Functional electrical stimulation cycling exercise after spinal cord injury: a systematic review of health and fitness-related outcomes. *J Neuroeng Rehabil.* 2021;18(1):99. Published 2021 Jun 12. doi:10.1186/s12984-021-00882-8
47. Zhuang C, Luo X, Wang Q, et al. The effect of exercise training and physiotherapy on diastolic function, exercise capacity and quality of life in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Kardiol Pol.* 2021;79(10):1107-1115. doi:10.33963/KP.a2021.0101.